

RESEARCH

Open Access



Vitamin A supplementation coverage and associated factors for children aged 6 to 59 months in integrated and campaign-based delivery systems in four sub-Saharan African countries

Amynah Janmohamed¹, David Doledec¹, Romance Dissieka¹, Umu H. Jalloh², Sugandh Juneja², Maguette Beye³, Fatou Ndiaye³, Theresia Jumbe⁴ and Melissa M. Baker^{1*}

Abstract

Background Vitamin A deficiency (VAD) is a leading contributor to the poor health and nutrition of young children in sub-Saharan Africa. Funding constraints are compelling many countries to shift from longstanding campaigns to integrating vitamin A supplementation (VAS) into routine health services. We assessed child VAS coverage and associated factors for integrated delivery systems in Mozambique, Senegal, and Sierra Leone and for a campaign-based delivery strategy in Tanzania.

Methods Data were obtained using representative household surveys administered to primary caregivers of $N = 16,343$ children aged 6–59 months (Mozambique: $N = 1,659$; Senegal: $N = 7,254$; Sierra Leone: $N = 4,149$; Tanzania: $N = 3,281$). Single-dose VAS coverage was assessed and bivariate and multivariable associations were examined for child VAS receipt with respect to rural or urban residence; child age and sex; maternal age, education, and VAS program knowledge; and household wealth.

Results VAS coverage for children aged 6–59 months was 42.8% (95% CI: 40.2, 45.6) in Mozambique, 46.1% (95% CI: 44.9, 47.4) in Senegal, 86.9% (95% CI: 85.8, 87.9) in Sierra Leone, and 42.4% (95% CI: 40.2, 44.6) in Tanzania and was significantly higher for children 6–11 vs. 24–59 months in Mozambique, Senegal, and Tanzania. In Sierra Leone, children aged 12–23 months (aOR = 1.86; 95% CI: 1.20, 2.86) and 24–59 months (aOR = 1.55; 95% CI: 1.07, 2.25) were more likely to receive VAS, compared to those 6–11 months. Maternal awareness of VAS programs was associated with higher uptake in Mozambique (aOR = 4.00; 95% CI: 2.81, 5.68), Senegal (aOR = 2.72; 95% CI: 2.35, 3.15), and Tanzania (aOR = 14.50; 95% CI: 10.98, 19.17). Increased household wealth was associated with a higher likelihood of child VAS in Senegal and Tanzania.

*Correspondence:

Melissa M. Baker
MBaker@hki.org

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Conclusions Our findings indicate routine delivery approaches for VAS are not achieving the level of coverage needed for public health impact in these settings. Intensive outreach efforts contributed to the higher coverage in Sierra Leone and highlight the importance of reducing the burdens associated with seeking supplementation at health facilities. As countries move towards incorporating VAS into routine health services, the essentiality of informed communities and potential losses for older children and socio-economically disadvantaged populations are key considerations in the sub-Saharan African context.

Keywords Child, Coverage, Delivery strategy, Sub-Saharan Africa, Supplementation, Vitamin A

Background

Vitamin A deficiency (VAD) is a leading contributor to the poor health and nutrition of young children in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) [1]. Global estimates suggest the largest VAD burden exists in SSA, where approximately 50% of children aged 6 to 59 months are affected [2]. Given the importance of maintaining adequate levels of vitamin A during early childhood, and the barriers to children consuming sufficient quantities of vitamin A-rich foods where diets are limited in variety and nutritional quality, twice-yearly vitamin A supplementation (VAS) is recommended for children 6 to 59 months of age in areas where VAD is considered a public health problem [3]. In SSA, VAS has primarily been provided using door-to-door distribution strategies as part of semi-annual national child health campaigns (e.g., ‘Child Health Days/Weeks/Months’) which have been highly effective for reaching children across socio-demographic groups [4]. However, fiscal constraints are compelling many countries to reevaluate their delivery mechanisms and, therein, move away from campaign-based approaches and integrate VAS into routine health services [5–8]. This shift aims to ensure continuous availability of VAS at primary level facilities alongside child immunizations, growth monitoring, and other essential child services.

Helen Keller Intl has provided longstanding support for VAS programming in SSA and is assisting governments during the transition to mainstreaming VAS within existing health systems. Routine provision involves the integration of VAS into ongoing services at health facility and community levels and has taken various forms across countries in the region. In Mozambique, VAS delivery platforms have been implemented based on health facility catchment areas, with children from households located <5 km away supplemented at the health center and those residing 5–10 km and >10 km away from the health center provided VAS through household visits by community health workers (CHWs) and at fixed site health worker outreach sessions, respectively. In Senegal, VAS is routinely provided at health facilities, in preschools, during community child health checks and growth monitoring activities, and through door-to-door visits by CHWs. As in Mozambique, facility-based supplementation is expected for children from households located within 5 km from health centers. In Sierra Leone,

VAS has been integrated into facility-based (fixed and outreach) services, along with periodic intensive routine VAS conducted by health facility staff with the support of CHWs. The outreach component is targeted to reach children 18–59 months due to the low facility attendance for this age group. In Tanzania, VAS continues to be provided through semiannual campaigns held at primary health facilities and community fixed sites during ‘Child Health and Nutrition Months’ occurring in June and December. As an increasing number of countries embark on the transition towards integrating VAS into routine health systems, timely and relevant data are imperative to inform decisions regarding effective implementation models. Therefore, the objective of this study was to assess child VAS coverage and associated factors for integrated delivery systems in Mozambique, Senegal, and Sierra Leone and for a campaign-based delivery strategy in Tanzania.

Methods

Study design, sampling, and data collection

Data were obtained using representative household surveys conducted by Helen Keller Intl, in collaboration with national authorities, in 15 districts in Mozambique, 19 districts in Senegal, and two districts in Sierra Leone where VAS was provided on an ongoing basis using routine health facility and community delivery modalities. Surveys were implemented in three regions in Tanzania where a twice-yearly campaign-based VAS delivery system was utilized. Standard survey methodology, including two-stage cluster sampling, was employed in all countries. Each district or region was stratified into rural and urban sampling strata and survey enumeration areas (EAs) were selected using probability proportional to size sampling from cluster lists provided by the National Institute of Statistics or the corresponding entity in each country (Mozambique: 123; Senegal: 444; Sierra Leone: 246; Tanzania: 231). Households were randomly selected from lists created for each EA. Survey eligibility was based on at least one child aged 6 to 59 months residing in the household and a primary child caregiver present at the time of the survey visit.

The enumerators were trained by Helen Keller Intl and administered standardized surveys to primary caregivers in local languages. Information was collected on

household, caregiver, and child characteristics; general child health-seeking practices; vitamin A and VAS knowledge and information sources; the child's VAS status; location of VAS receipt; and reasons for non-supplementation. A household wealth index was constructed using principal components analysis based on asset ownership, housing characteristics, and access to water and sanitation facilities. The child's age and VAS status were obtained from the caregiver and/or the child's health card. A sample age-specific vitamin A capsule was shown to assist with caregiver recall. In Mozambique, caregivers were asked whether the child had received VAS during the six months prior to the survey conducted in November 2022. In Senegal and Tanzania, children's VAS status was obtained for January to June and February to July 2022, respectively. In Sierra Leone, the VAS assessment period was from January to August/September 2022. Survey data were electronically recorded using secured mobile tablets. Though mandatory COVID-19 restrictions had been removed in all four countries by the time of survey implementation, preventive measures including masking, disinfection, and not conducting field work when ill were strongly encouraged. Field supervisors provided oversight for all survey activities. Research ethics approval was obtained from the respective institution in each country and informed consent was required from all survey participants.

Statistical analysis

The primary study outcome was single-dose VAS coverage, defined as the proportion of children aged 6 to 59 months who received VAS during the specified time period in each country. Bivariate and multivariable associations were assessed for child VAS receipt with respect to: rural or urban residence; child age and sex; maternal age, education, and VAS program knowledge; and household wealth. Model covariates were selected based on a significant ($p < 0.05$) bivariate relationship and/or association with child VAS from prior knowledge. Unadjusted and adjusted odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals are presented in separate analyses for each country as the study was not designed to detect differences in VAS coverage across the four countries. Statistical significance was assessed at $p < 0.05$ for all analyses. Sample-weighted survey data were analyzed using SPSS Complex Samples 26.0 (IBM Corp: Armonk, NY).

Results

A total of $N = 16,343$ children were included in the study (Mozambique: $N = 1,659$; Senegal: $N = 7,254$; Sierra Leone: $N = 4,149$; Tanzania: $N = 3,281$) (Table 1). The majority of children resided in rural areas across all countries, with approximately two-thirds ≥ 2 years of age. In Mozambique, Senegal, and Tanzania, more than half of children's mothers were between 20 and 29 years of age and 37.8%,

Table 1 Participant characteristics

	Mozambique <i>N</i> = 1659	Senegal <i>N</i> = 7254	Sierra Leone [†] <i>N</i> = 4149	Tanzania <i>N</i> = 3281
Area				
Rural	1375 (82.9)	5824 (80.3)	2801 (67.5)	1798 (54.8)
Urban	284 (17.1)	1430 (19.7)	1348 (32.5)	1483 (45.2)
Child sex				
Female	842 (50.8)	3493 (48.2)	2042 (49.2)	1565 (47.7)
Male	817 (49.2)	3761 (51.8)	2107 (50.8)	1716 (52.3)
Child age				
6–11 months	206 (12.4)	915 (12.6)	370 (8.9)	352 (10.7)
12–23 months	380 (22.9)	1732 (23.9)	995 (24.0)	804 (24.5)
24–59 months	1073 (64.7)	4607 (63.5)	2784 (67.1)	2125 (64.8)
Mother's age				
15–19 years	80 (7.6)	353 (5.2)	N/A	64 (2.4)
20–29 years	637 (60.5)	3631 (53.1)		1350 (50.9)
30–39 years	270 (25.6)	2402 (35.1)		959 (36.1)
≥ 40 years	66 (6.3)	455 (6.7)		280 (10.6)
Mother's education				
None	457 (37.8)	4968 (72.6)	N/A	348 (13.1)
Primary	539 (44.6)	1099 (16.1)		1781 (67.1)
Secondary or higher	213 (17.6)	774 (11.3)		525 (19.8)
Household wealth				
Very poor	84 (5.1)	1418 (19.5)	463 (13.2)	726 (22.1)
Poor	0 (0.0)	1458 (20.1)	610 (17.3)	727 (22.2)
Medium	891 (53.7)	1424 (19.6)	0 (0.0)	642 (19.6)
Rich	338 (20.4)	1482 (20.4)	1147 (32.6)	603 (18.4)
Very rich	346 (20.9)	1472 (20.3)	1298 (36.9)	583 (17.8)

[†] Mother's age and education were not available for Sierra Leone

72.6%, and 13.1% reported having no formal education, respectively. Children from very poor or poor households comprised 5.1% of the study population in Mozambique, 39.6% in Senegal, 30.5% in Sierra Leone, and 44.3% in Tanzania (Table 1).

VAS coverage for children aged 6 to 59 months was below the recommended threshold of 80% [3] in three of four countries: 42.8% (95% CI: 40.2, 45.6) in Mozambique; 46.1% (95% CI: 44.9, 47.4) in Senegal; and 42.4% (95% CI: 40.2, 44.6) in Tanzania (Table 2). VAS coverage exceeded 80% in Sierra Leone (86.9%; 95% CI: 85.8, 87.9). Children in urban, as compared to rural, areas had

higher VAS coverage in Mozambique and Senegal. In Mozambique, VAS coverage was 22.3% higher among infants 6–11 months, compared to children 24–59 months (59.2% vs. 36.9%; $p < 0.001$), and was also higher for children aged 12–23 months than for those ≥ 2 years of age (53.7% vs. 36.9%; $p < 0.001$). In Senegal, children 6–11 months had higher VAS coverage compared to those aged 12–23 months (65.1% vs. 55.7%; $p = 0.001$) and 24–59 months (65.1% vs. 39.2%; $p < 0.001$), and VAS coverage was 16.5% higher among children 12–23 months compared to ≥ 24 months (55.7% vs. 39.2%; $p < 0.001$). In Tanzania, higher VAS coverage was also observed for

Table 2 Vitamin A supplementation according to socio-demographic characteristics and maternal knowledge

	Mozambique ¹	Senegal ²	Sierra Leone ³	Tanzania ⁴
	Child received VAS [n (%)]			
Area				
Rural	556 (40.4)	2583 (44.4)	2424 (86.5)	784 (43.6)
Urban	166 (58.5) ***	784 (54.8) ***	1177 (87.3)	589 (39.7)
Child sex				
Female	362 (43.0)	1598 (45.7)	1767 (86.5)	651 (41.6)
Male	360 (44.1)	1769 (47.0)	1834 (87.0)	722 (42.1)
Child age				
6–11 months	122 (59.2)	596 (65.1) **, ***	310 (83.8)	175 (49.7)
12–23 months	204 (53.7)	965 (55.7) ***	881 (88.5)	388 (48.3)
24–59 months	396 (36.9) ***	1806 (39.2)	2410 (86.6)	810 (38.1) **, ***
Mother's age				
15–19 years	37 (46.3)	154 (43.6)	N/A	24 (37.5)
20–29 years	290 (45.5)	1674 (46.1)		589 (43.6)
30–39 years	118 (43.7)	1157 (48.2)		444 (46.3)
≥ 40 years	31 (47.0)	208 (45.7)		117 (41.8)
Mother's education				
None	183 (40.0)	2159 (43.5) **, ***	N/A	162 (46.6)
Primary	229 (42.5)	553 (50.3) **		783 (44.0)
Secondary or higher	117 (54.9) **	481 (62.1)		229 (43.6)
Household wealth				
Very poor	40 (47.6)	448 (31.6) ***	398 (86.0)	312 (43.0)
Poor	0 (0.0)	654 (44.9) *, ***	535 (87.7)	309 (42.5)
Medium	340 (38.2) *, ***	696 (48.9) **	0 (0.0)	271 (42.2)
Rich	177 (52.4)	728 (49.1) **	981 (85.5)	250 (41.5)
Very rich	165 (47.7)	841 (57.1)	1138 (87.7)	231 (39.6)
Mother's VAS knowledge				
Yes	430 (54.9) ***	929 (68.0) ***	2181 (87.1)	790 (83.9) ***
No	99 (23.2)	2264 (41.4)	461 (89.0)	384 (22.4)
VAS % (CI)	42.8 (40.2, 45.6)	46.1 (44.9, 47.4)	86.9 (85.8, 87.9)	42.4 (40.2, 44.6)

VAS, vitamin A supplementation; CI, confidence interval. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$

¹ For Mozambique: rural vs. urban ($p < 0.001$), 6–11 months vs. 24–59 months ($p < 0.001$), 12–23 months vs. 24–59 months ($p < 0.001$), none vs. secondary/higher education ($p = 0.001$), primary vs. secondary/higher education ($p = 0.005$), medium vs. rich ($p < 0.001$), medium vs. very rich ($p = 0.012$), VAS knowledge vs. no VAS knowledge ($p < 0.001$)

² For Senegal: rural vs. urban ($p < 0.001$), 6–11 months vs. 12–23 months ($p = 0.001$), 6–11 months vs. 24–59 months ($p < 0.001$), 12–23 months vs. 24–59 months ($p < 0.001$), none vs. primary education ($p = 0.007$), none vs. secondary/higher education ($p < 0.001$), primary vs. secondary/higher education ($p = 0.002$), very poor vs. all wealth quintiles ($p < 0.001$), poor vs. medium ($p = 0.043$), poor vs. rich ($p = 0.015$), poor vs. very rich ($p < 0.001$), medium vs. very rich ($p = 0.003$), rich vs. very rich ($p = 0.008$), VAS knowledge vs. no VAS knowledge ($p < 0.001$)

³ For Sierra Leone: mother's age and education were not available; no significant differences in other variables

⁴ For Tanzania: 6–11 months vs. 24–59 months ($p = 0.002$), 12–23 months vs. 24–59 months ($p < 0.001$), VAS knowledge vs. no VAS knowledge ($p < 0.001$)

infants, compared to children ≥ 2 years (49.7% vs. 38.1%; $p=0.002$), and for children 12–23 months compared to 24–59 months (48.3% vs. 38.1%; $p<0.001$). No significant differences were apparent between child age groups in Sierra Leone. Child sex parity was observed for VAS coverage in all four countries (Table 2).

In Mozambique, VAS coverage was higher for children of mothers with a secondary or higher education compared to no formal education and compared to those with a primary level education (Table 2). In Senegal, children of mothers with a primary education and with a post-primary education had higher VAS coverage than children of non-educated mothers. Additionally, in Senegal, children in the poorest households had lower VAS coverage compared to children from households in all the other wealth quintiles ($p<0.001$). Knowledge about the VAS program was reported by 64.8% of mothers in Mozambique, 20.0% in Senegal, 82.9% in Sierra Leone, and 35.5% in Tanzania. Substantially higher VAS coverage was observed for children whose mothers had knowledge of the VAS program, compared to no awareness, in Mozambique (54.9% vs. 23.2%; $p<0.001$), Senegal (68.0% vs. 41.4%; $p<0.001$), and Tanzania (83.9% vs. 22.4%; $p<0.001$) (Table 2).

In the multivariable models examining key determinants of child VAS, children in rural areas had a decreased likelihood of receiving VAS in Mozambique compared to their urban counterparts (aOR=0.52; 95% CI: 0.34, 0.79) (Table 3). In Sierra Leone, children aged 12–23 months (aOR=1.86; 95% CI: 1.20, 2.86) and 24–59 months (aOR=1.55; 95% CI: 1.07, 2.25) were more likely to receive VAS compared to those 6–11 months. Conversely, children aged 24–59 months were less likely to receive VAS, as compared to infants 6–11 months, in Mozambique, Senegal, and Tanzania. In Senegal, children of mothers with a secondary or higher education level were more likely to receive VAS, compared to children of non-educated mothers (aOR=1.75; 95% CI: 1.45, 2.12). In contrast, both primary and secondary or higher maternal education were associated with a reduced likelihood of child VAS in Tanzania. Increased household wealth was associated with VAS uptake in Senegal and Tanzania. Lastly, maternal awareness of the VAS program significantly increased VAS uptake in Mozambique (aOR=4.00; 95% CI: 2.81, 5.68), Senegal (aOR=2.72; 95% CI: 2.35, 3.15), and Tanzania (aOR=14.50; 95% CI: 10.98, 19.17) (Table 3).

The majority of children were provided VAS at a health facility in Mozambique (63.9%) and Tanzania (73.4%), while most received their VAS dose at the household in Senegal (47.4%) and Sierra Leone (57.7%) (Table 4). A lack of caregiver awareness about the VAS program was the primary reason for non-supplemented children in Mozambique (52.9%), Senegal (50.1%), and Tanzania

(67.8%). In Sierra Leone, multiple reasons for non-supplementation were recorded and the most frequently cited reasons were health workers not coming to the house (42.2%) and the child being absent at the time of the home visit (26.5%). The frequency of VAS refusal was highest in Sierra Leone (7.7%) and $<3\%$ in the other countries (Table 4).

Discussion

In this study, we assessed routine VAS coverage among children under five years in Mozambique, Senegal, and Sierra Leone and campaign-based coverage in Tanzania. Our findings reveal substantial variability in VAS coverage and highlight the fact that routine delivery approaches are not yet achieving the minimum 80% coverage level required for public health impact [3]. The $<50\%$ coverage observed for integrated VAS delivery in Mozambique and Senegal suggests considerable programmatic challenges and contrasts with higher coverage estimates reported for campaigns in SSA [9]. A study involving pooled data from 44 surveys conducted across 13 SSA countries revealed $\sim 30\%$ higher VAS coverage through household, as compared to fixed site plus outreach, distribution (91% vs. 63%; $p<0.001$) and a higher likelihood of VAS among children targeted through a door-to-door supplementation strategy (aOR=19.0; 95% CI: 17.2, 21.1) [10].

To this end, the $<50\%$ VAS coverage observed for the child health campaign in Tanzania, which typically involves intensive community awareness-raising, was lower than expected and below previously reported administrative and survey-based estimates [11]. However, given that the campaign was facility-based, rather than directly targeting households, we speculate the low coverage was likely due to barriers to bringing children to health facilities or other delivery sites, particularly for caregivers from remote communities. In Mozambique, VAS was less likely for children in rural areas, which suggests the direct and/or indirect costs associated with attending health facilities posed barriers for these communities and or children were missed during outreach visits. Area of residence has shown to be a key factor for VAS in SSA settings, with generally lower uptake in rural areas [12–14]. Therefore, the observed lack of association in the other three countries in our study was unexpected, especially given that the majority of households were located in rural areas more likely to be adversely affected by the shift to non-campaign-based modalities. This variation may be linked to the performance of CHWs who serve as key providers of health information and VAS for remote communities. Evidence suggests the motivation and training of CHWs significantly impact service coverage [15–19], emphasizing the importance of supporting

Table 3 Multivariable associations with vitamin A supplementation

	Mozambique		Senegal		Sierra Leone [†]		Tanzania	
	UOR	AOR	UOR	AOR	UOR	AOR	UOR	AOR
Area								
Urban	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Rural	0.46 (0.33, 0.64)	0.52 (0.34, 0.79)	0.64 (0.56, 0.73)	0.87 (0.74, 1.02)	0.94 (0.72, 1.23)	0.92 (0.71, 1.19)	1.30 (1.09, 1.54)	1.26 (0.94, 1.70)
Child sex								
Male	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Female	0.92 (0.71, 1.20)	0.90 (0.67, 1.22)	0.96 (0.87, 1.07)	0.95 (0.85, 1.06)	1.01 (0.79, 1.29)	1.01 (0.79, 1.29)	0.89 (0.73, 1.09)	0.86 (0.67, 1.10)
Child age								
6–11 months	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
12–23 months	0.83 (0.53, 1.31)	0.78 (0.47, 1.30)	0.64 (0.53, 0.77)	0.62 (0.52, 0.76)	1.86 (1.21, 2.87)	1.86 (1.20, 2.86)	0.87 (0.61, 1.23)	0.86 (0.57, 1.30)
24–59 months	0.40 (0.27, 0.60)	0.33 (0.21, 0.52)	0.31 (0.27, 0.37)	0.29 (0.24, 0.34)	1.55 (1.07, 2.26)	1.55 (1.07, 2.25)	0.46 (0.34, 0.63)	0.41 (0.29, 0.59)
Mother's age								
15–24 years	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	N/A	N/A	1.00	1.00
25–39 years	0.98 (0.73, 1.32)	1.01 (0.73, 1.40)	1.04 (0.93, 1.17)	1.14 (1.01, 1.29)			0.80 (0.63, 1.01)	0.81 (0.61, 1.08)
≥ 40 years	1.36 (0.76, 2.44)	1.58 (0.78, 3.17)	0.98 (0.78, 1.23)	1.17 (0.92, 1.49)			0.89 (0.62, 1.29)	0.85 (0.55, 1.33)
Mother's education								
None	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	N/A	N/A	1.00	1.00
Primary	1.11 (0.83, 1.49)	0.87 (0.61, 1.25)	1.26 (1.09, 1.46)	1.12 (0.96, 1.31)			0.84 (0.63, 1.11)	0.66 (0.46, 0.96)
Secondary / higher	2.29 (1.58, 3.32)	1.29 (0.81, 2.05)	2.01 (1.69, 2.40)	1.75 (1.45, 2.12)			0.79 (0.56, 1.09)	0.56 (0.35, 0.91)
Household wealth								
Poor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Medium	0.86 (0.49, 1.50)	0.83 (0.43, 1.61)	1.41 (1.22, 1.63)	1.20 (1.03, 1.41)	0.00 (0.00, 0.00)	0.00 (0.00, 0.00)	0.79 (0.61, 1.02)	0.89 (0.66, 1.20)
Rich	1.39 (0.79, 2.44)	0.81 (0.41, 1.60)	1.56 (1.38, 1.75)	1.31 (1.14, 1.49)	1.18 (0.91, 1.53)	1.18 (0.92, 1.53)	0.87 (0.71, 1.05)	1.62 (1.13, 2.32)
Mother's knowledge								
No	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Yes	4.13 (3.05, 5.58)	4.00 (2.81, 5.68)	2.66 (2.31, 3.06)	2.72 (2.35, 3.15)	0.95 (0.68, 1.32)	0.96 (0.69, 1.33)	12.89 (9.87, 16.84)	14.50 (10.98, 19.17)

UOR, unadjusted odds ratio; AOR, adjusted odds ratio. OR=1.00 for reference category

[†] For Sierra Leone mother's age and education were not available

and empowering this important cadre of mobilizers and service providers.

Notwithstanding, our study estimates are consistent with the lower coverage observed during the transition to routine VAS delivery in other regions of Senegal [6] and in Ethiopia [7]. Specific factors likely account for the low routine coverage observed in our study. Well-resourced, and often externally funded, campaigns incorporate intensive training and community mobilization efforts to raise awareness prior to supplementation activities,

which are not typically undertaken for routine services operating under tighter budget constraints. Moreover, time, distance, and cost-related challenges associated with care-seeking at health facilities may prevent children from receiving their regular six-monthly VAS doses, in contrast to door-to-door supplementation for which caregivers and children need only to be present at the household.

The substantially higher VAS coverage observed in Sierra Leone, including ~90% coverage in rural areas,

Table 4 Location of vitamin A supplementation and reasons for non-supplementation

	Mozambique	Senegal	Sierra Leone [‡]	Tanzania
Location of VAS receipt	N=722	N=3367	N=3601	N=1373
Health facility	461 (63.9)	1456 (43.2)	1301 (36.1)	1008 (73.4)
Home	209 (28.9)	1594 (47.4)	2076 (57.7)	210 (15.3)
Other	49 (6.8)	313 (9.3)	217 (6.0)	152 (11.1)
Did not know	3 (0.4)	4 (0.1)	7 (0.2)	3 (0.2)
Reason for child not receiving VAS [†]	N=860	N=3779	N=431	N=1823
Not being informed	455 (52.9)	1894 (50.1)	96 (22.3)	1236 (67.8)
Health worker not coming to house	68 (7.9)	939 (24.9)	182 (42.2)	60 (3.3)
Child absent	72 (8.4)	165 (4.4)	114 (26.5)	153 (8.4)
Child ill	4 (0.5)	29 (0.8)	3 (0.7)	5 (0.3)
Lack of supply	6 (0.7)	46 (1.2)	37 (8.6)	35 (1.9)
Refusal	8 (0.9)	96 (2.5)	33 (7.7)	8 (0.4)
Other	59 (6.8)	610 (16.1)	26 (6.0)	201 (11.0)
Did not know or remember	188 (21.9)	0 (0.0)	26 (6.0)	125 (6.9)

[†] Reasons for non-supplementation obtained from caregivers

[‡] In Sierra Leone multiple reasons for non-supplementation were recorded

is primarily attributed to an ‘intensification’ event, in which a substantial proportion of children received VAS at home, which is not typically part of routine VAS programs. Our findings are consistent with other survey-based estimates indicating high VAS coverage in Sierra Leone [20, 21]. In addition, as most caregivers reported health workers not coming to the house to administer VAS as the reason for non-supplementation, communities were likely still sensitized to VAS being delivered on an outreach basis in targeted areas. As household wealth and area were not associated with VAS in Sierra Leone, the higher coverage is likely due to the outreach component, with the cost and distance burdens of seeking supplementation less relevant in these areas and children from poorer and more remote households effectively captured. Evidence from SSA has shown high door-to-door coverage in rural areas and across wealth strata, presumably due to fewer barriers to having children supplemented at home, as opposed to an off-site location [10].

In contrast, maternal education and household wealth were positively associated with child VAS in Senegal and children in higher wealth households were more likely to receive VAS in Tanzania. These findings have been observed in other SSA settings [22–27]. In a multi-country analysis of data from Demographic and Health Surveys, Raut et al. [25] observed maternal education and household wealth were positively associated with child VAS in Ethiopia, Kenya, Nigeria, Senegal, and Tanzania. Other evidence from SSA indicates the importance of caregiver education in fixed site VAS delivery, with little or no education posing a barrier to accessing health-related information and to care-seeking, given the generally poorer living conditions of under-educated populations [10]. Consequently, the lower VAS uptake for children of educated mothers in Tanzania in our study is surprising. We are unable to speculate on this finding, apart from the possibility that these mothers had other

obligations preventing them from bringing their children to the health facility or other designated delivery site.

Our study identified age-specific coverage differences, with lower VAS uptake among children ≥ 2 years of age in Mozambique, Senegal, and Tanzania. This is consistent with studies conducted in other regions of Senegal [6] and in Ethiopia [7] highlighting lower routine coverage for older children and may be attributed to the challenges in bringing older children to health centers and/or possibly more frequent visits for infants and younger children for immunizations. In a qualitative study exploring VAS perceptions in Ethiopia, mothers described the burden of bringing multiple under-five children to the health center and the particular challenges of toddlers being too heavy to carry and too young to walk long distances to health facilities [7]. Conversely, in Sierra Leone, children 12–23 months and ≥ 2 years of age were more likely to receive VAS than younger infants which suggests greater reachability for older children through the outreach component of the program in this setting. This was not unexpected as health-seeking behaviors following completion of the childhood immunization schedule are likely to be influenced by socio-demographic factors affecting access to health facilities.

Maternal knowledge of the VAS program was a key determinant of VAS uptake in Mozambique, Senegal, and Tanzania and not being informed was the main reason reported for non-supplementation in these settings. This has been widely observed in other SSA settings [22, 24, 27], emphasizing the importance of health education and community awareness. Multi-country evidence from SSA has shown prior caregiver awareness about supplementation activities to be the strongest predictor of VAS in both door-to-door (OR=6.8; 95% CI: 5.8, 7.9; $p < 0.001$) and fixed site (OR=72.5; 95% CI: 66.6, 78.8; $p < 0.001$) delivery models [10]. However, as illustrated by the experience in Sierra Leone where VAS coverage was ~90% for children

of mothers with and without program knowledge, community awareness may not be as relevant where outreach occurs and rather more important in areas where obtaining VAS requires active care-seeking at health facilities or other sites. In a study conducted in Côte d'Ivoire [28], mobile message reminders to mothers increased facility visits for child VAS and should be explored as a medium for disseminating VAS and other child health information in settings where household mobile phone ownership is high. In addition, fairly remunerated CHWs and other health extension agents may represent an underutilized resource for communicating VAS-related information to increase coverage. Lastly, caregiver/family refusal was not a barrier to VAS in any of the four countries, which is consistent with generally high community acceptance and positive attitudes towards VAS in the SSA context. This suggests higher coverage could potentially be achieved in areas with informed communities and well-functioning delivery systems.

Key strengths of our study include the large samples from four countries representing diverse socio-demographic contexts and the standardized methodology and data collection instruments employed in all countries. However, varying assessment and recall periods may have influenced VAS coverage estimates. Caregiver accounts of children's VAS status may have been impacted by the extended recall period, in contrast to post-campaign surveys which are typically conducted within one month following supplementation activities. Future studies may benefit from cross-verification of VAS status reported at the household with health facility records. Given the cross-sectional survey design, causal inferences cannot be made for reported associations. Finally, limited data obviated examining the effects of maternal age and education on child VAS in Sierra Leone and other factors not explored may have influenced VAS uptake in our study settings. The cross-country variations in program modalities, health system barriers, and community characteristics are important considerations. The impact of any remaining COVID-19-related program disruptions was not investigated. Lastly, our coverage estimates are for single-dose VAS receipt and, therefore, should not be interpreted to represent full (two-dose) VAS coverage.

Conclusions

Our findings provide valuable insights into the challenges and complexities of achieving and maintaining high routine VAS coverage in resource-poor settings. While campaigns have been the primary approach in SSA, due to funding constraints, many countries have had to phase-out campaigns and integrate VAS into routine health services. The large gaps in VAS coverage observed in our study settings highlight the critical ongoing need for robust data on the feasibility and effectiveness of

innovative approaches to deliver VAS, including using CHWs to provide supplements to remote communities and combining fixed and outreach delivery mechanisms. Strengthening awareness-raising efforts where accessing VAS at health facilities may be particularly problematic should be considered. However, in settings where facilities lack sufficient capacity, maintaining campaigns may be the only option until health systems can be strengthened. More robust evidence is needed to compare the resource intensity of integrated platforms, hybrid models, and campaigns to inform optimal VAS delivery strategies and achieve greater equity across different contexts. Our findings contribute to understanding key elements of successful integrated VAS delivery in the African context. As countries move towards incorporating VAS into routine health services, the essentiality of informed communities and potential losses for older children and socio-economically disadvantaged populations must be considered in policies and programs to sustain the progress made in providing life-saving vitamin A supplements to young children in sub-Saharan Africa.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge Helen Keller Intl staff and Ministry of Health representatives in Mozambique, Senegal, Sierra Leone, and Tanzania who contributed to this project. We also extend our sincere gratitude to the survey enumerators and study participants. We would like to thank Dr. Jennifer Nielsen (Helen Keller Intl) and Dr. Rolf Klemm (Helen Keller Intl and Johns Hopkins University) for providing their expertise and insights and for their contributions to the manuscript.

Author contributions

A.J., D.D., F.N., R.D. and M.M.B conceptualized the study. R.D., F.N., U.H.J., S.J., M.B., T.J. and M.M.B. developed data collection strategies and instruments. R.D., U.H.J., S.J., M.B., M.M.B. and T.J. supervised data collection. A.J. carried out all statistical analyses. A.J. and M.M.B. developed the manuscript. D.D., R.D., U.H.J., S.J., M.B., F.N. and T.J. contributed to the manuscript and all authors reviewed and approved the final version.

Funding

Funding for this study was provided by a grant from GiveWell.

Data availability

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Research ethics approval was obtained from the Mozambique National Committee for Bioethics in Health, Senegal National Ethics Committee for Health Research, Sierra Leone Ethics and Scientific Review Committee, and Tanzania National Health Research Ethics Review Committee.

Informed consent

Informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author details

¹Helen Keller International Vitamin A Supplementation Africa Regional Office, Nairobi, Kenya

²Helen Keller International, Freetown, Sierra Leone

³Helen Keller International, Dakar, Senegal

⁴Helen Keller International, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania

Received: 26 January 2024 / Accepted: 24 April 2024

Published online: 27 April 2024

References

- Zhao T, Liu S, Zhang R, Zhao Z, Yu H, Pu L, et al. Global burden of vitamin A deficiency in 204 countries and territories from 1990–2019. *Nutrients*. 2022;14:950. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu14050950>.
- UNICEF, Vitamin A. January webpage. <https://data.unicef.org/topic/nutrition/vitamin-a-deficiency/>. Accessed 2024.
- World Health Organization. Guideline: vitamin a supplementation in infants and children 6–59 months of age. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2011.
- Oliphant NP, Mason JB, Doherty T, Chopra M, Mann P, Tomlinson M, et al. The contribution of child health days to improving coverage of periodic interventions in six African countries. *Food Nutr Bull*. 2010;31(3 Suppl):S248–63. <https://doi.org/10.1177/156482651003135304>.
- Wirth JP, Petry N, Tanumihardjo SA, Rogers LM, McLean E, Greig A, et al. Vitamin a supplementation programs and country-level evidence of vitamin A deficiency. *Nutrients*. 2017;9:190. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu9030190>.
- Horton S, Blum LS, Diouf M, Ndiaye B, Ndoeye F, Niang K, et al. Delivering vitamin A supplements to children aged 6–59 months: comparing delivery through campaigns and through routine health services in Senegal. *Curr Dev Nutr*. 2018;2:nzy006. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cdn/nzy006>.
- Gatobu S, Horton S, Aleyamehu YK, Abraham G, Birhanu N, Greig A. Delivering vitamin A supplements to children aged 6 to 59 months: comparing delivery through mass campaign and through routine health services in Ethiopia. *Food Nutr Bull*. 2017;38:564–73. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0379572117708657>.
- UNICEF. Coverage at a crossroads: new directions for vitamin A supplementation programmes. New York: UNICEF; 2018.
- Seufert J, Krishnan N, Darmstadt GL, Wang G, Baernighausen T, Geldsetzer P. Sub-national estimates of vitamin A supplementation coverage in children: a geospatial analysis of 45 low and middle-income countries. *medRxiv*. 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.05.09.23289711>.
- Janmohamed A, Klemm RD, Doledec D. Determinants of successful vitamin a supplementation coverage among children aged 6–59 months in thirteen sub-saharan African countries. *Public Health Nutr*. 2017;20:2016–22. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980017000684>.
- Ministry of Health, Tanzania, Ministry of Health Zanzibar, National Bureau of Statistics, Office of the Chief Government Statistician, ICF. Tanzania Demographic and Health Survey and Malaria Indicator Survey 2022 Final Report, Dodoma, Tanzania, and Rockville, Maryland, USA.
- Berde AS, Bester P, Kruger IM. Coverage and factors associated with vitamin A supplementation among children aged 6–59 months in twenty-three sub-saharan African countries. *Public Health Nutr*. 2019;22:1770–76. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980018004056>.
- Gilano G, Hailegebreal S, Seboka BT. Geographical variation and associated factors of vitamin A supplementation among 6-59-month children in Ethiopia. *PLoS ONE*. 2021;16:e0261959. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0261959>.
- Aghaji AE, Duke R, Aghaji UCW. Inequitable coverage of vitamin A supplementation in Nigeria and implications for childhood blindness. *BMC Public Health*. 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-019-6413-1>.
- Sarriot E, Davis T, Morrow M, Kabore T, Perry H. Motivation and performance of community health workers: nothing new under the sun, and yet.... *Glob Health Sci Pract*. 2021;9:716–24. <https://doi.org/10.9745/GHSP-D-21-00627>.
- Gadsden T, Mabunda SA, Palagyi A, Maharani A, Sujarwoto S, Baddeley M, et al. Performance-based incentives and community health workers' outputs: a systematic review. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2021;99:805–18. <https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.20.285218>.
- Ndambo MK, Munyaneza F, Aron MB, Nhlema B, Connolly E. Qualitative assessment of community health workers' perspective on their motivation in community-based primary health care in rural Malawi. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-022-07558-6>.
- Ouédraogo O, Zeba AN, Kaboré S, Berthé A, Drabo KM, Dabiré DBO, et al. Acceptance and challenges of the introduction of the routine community-based vitamin a supplementation strategy: the case of Burkina Faso. *Public Health Nutr*. 2022;25:1355–64. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980022000283>.
- Ballard M, Olaniran A, Iberico MM, Rogers A, Thapa A, Cook J, et al. Labour conditions in dual-cadre community health worker programmes: a systematic review. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2023;11:e1598–1608. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X\(23\)00357-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(23)00357-1).
- Hodges MH, Sesay FF, Kamara HI, Turay M, Koroma AS, Blankenship JL, et al. High and equitable mass vitamin a supplementation coverage in Sierra Leone: a post-event coverage survey. *Glob Health Sci Pract*. 2013;1:172–9. <https://doi.org/10.9745/GHSP-D-12-00005>.
- Koroma AS, Conteh SG, Bah M, Kamara HI, Turay M, Kandeh A, et al. Routine vitamin a supplementation and other high impact interventions in Sierra Leone. *Matern Child Nutr*. 2020;16:e13041. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mcn.13041>.
- Berihun B, Chemir F, Gebru M, Gebreyesus FA. Vitamin a supplementation coverage and its associated factors among children aged 6–59 months in West Azernet Berbere Woreda, South West Ethiopia. *BMC Pediatr*. 2023;23:257. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12887-023-04059-1>.
- Zegeye B, Olorunsaiye CZ, Ahinkorah BO, Ameyaw EK, Seidu AA, Budu E, et al. Trends in inequality in the coverage of vitamin A supplementation among children 6–59 months of age over two decades in Ethiopia: evidence from demographic and health surveys. *SAGE Open Med*. 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1177/20503121221094688>.
- Kassa G, Mesfin A, Gebremedhin S. Uptake of routine vitamin a supplementation for children in Humbo district, southern Ethiopia: community-based cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health*. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-09617-1>.
- Raut MK, Reddy JC, Bera D, Warvadeka K. Enablers of vitamin A coverage among children under five years of age from multi-country analyses of global demographic and health surveys in selected LMIC and LIC countries in Africa and Asia: a random forest analysis. *Int J Community Med Public Health*. 2018;6:395–411. <https://doi.org/10.18203/2394-6040.ijcmph20185279>.
- Lucha TA, Engida TA, Mengistu AK. Assessing the potential determinants of national vitamin a supplementation among children aged 6–35 months in Ethiopia: further analysis of the 2019 Ethiopian Mini demographic and Health Survey. *BMC Pediatr*. 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12887-022-03499-5>.
- Nigusse T, Gebretsadik A. Vitamin a supplementation coverage and ocular signs among children aged 6–59 months in Aleta Chuko Woreda, Sidama Zone, Southern Ethiopia. *J Nutr Metab*. 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/8878703>.
- Dissieka R, Soohoo M, Janmohamed A, Doledec D. Providing mothers with mobile phone message reminders increases childhood immunization and vitamin a supplementation coverage in Côte d'Ivoire: a randomized controlled trial. *J Public Health Afr*. 2019;10:1032. <https://doi.org/10.4081/jphia.2019.1032>.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.